

# Real Analysis III

(MAT312 $\beta$ )

Department of Mathematics  
University of Ruhuna

A.W.L. Pubudu Thilan

# Derivatives of Functions of Several Variables II

# Derivatives of Vector Fields

## What is a vector field?

- Derivative theory for vector fields is a straightforward extension of that for scalar fields.
- Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a vector field defined on a subset  $\mathbf{S}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Then  $\mathbf{f}$  consists of  $m$  scalar fields of  $n$  variables. That is,

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) = (f_1(\mathbf{a}), \dots, f_m(\mathbf{a})).$$

- In here each  $f_i : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a scalar field, where  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

## Example

Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a vector field defined by

$$\mathbf{f}(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2, x^2 - y^2, 2xy).$$

Then  $\mathbf{f}$  has three components as  $f_1(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ ,  $f_2(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$ , and  $f_3(x, y) = 2xy$ .

## Definition

### The derivative of a vector field

Let  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a vector field defined on a subset  $\mathbf{S}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\mathbf{a}$  is an interior point of  $\mathbf{S}$  and if  $\mathbf{y}$  is any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we define the derivative  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y})$  by the formula

$$\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + h\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})}{h},$$

whenever the limit exists. The derivative  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y})$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

## Differentiability in component wise

Let  $f_k$  denote the  $k^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\mathbf{f}$ . We note that the derivative  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y})$  exists if and only if  $f'_k(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y})$  exists for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  in which case we have

$$\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) = (f'_1(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}), \dots, f'_m(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y})) = \sum_{k=1}^m f'_k(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{e}_k, \rightarrow (A)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_k$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  unit coordinate vector.

## The total derivative of a vector field

We say that  $\mathbf{f}$  is differentiable at an interior point  $\mathbf{a}$  if there is a linear transformation

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

such that

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{v}) + \|\mathbf{v}\|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  as  $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ . The first order Taylor formula (1) is to hold for all  $\mathbf{v}$  with  $\|\mathbf{v}\| < r$  for some  $r > 0$ . The term  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The linear transformation  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is called the total derivative of  $\mathbf{f}$  at  $\mathbf{a}$ .

## The total derivative of a vector field

Cont...

- For scalar fields we proved that  $T_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{y})$  is the dot product of the gradient vector  $\nabla f(\mathbf{a})$  with  $\mathbf{y}$ .
- For vector fields we will prove that  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{y})$  is a vector whose  $k^{\text{th}}$  component is the dot product  $\nabla f_k(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y}$ .

## Theorem (4.1)

Assume  $\mathbf{f}$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{a}$  with total derivative  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Then the derivative  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y})$  exists for every  $\mathbf{a}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and we have

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and if  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^m \nabla f_k(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y} \mathbf{e}_k = (\nabla f_1(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y}, \dots, \nabla f_m(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y}). \quad (3)$$

## Theorem (4.1)

### Proof

We argue as in the scalar case. If  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_a(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore we can assume that  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Taking  $\mathbf{v} = h\mathbf{y}$  in the Taylor formula (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{T}_a(\mathbf{v}) + \|\mathbf{v}\|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \\ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + h\mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{T}_a(h\mathbf{y}) + \|h\mathbf{y}\|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \\ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + h\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) &= h\mathbf{T}_a(\mathbf{y}) + |h|\|\mathbf{y}\|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + h\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h\mathbf{T}_a(\mathbf{y})}{h} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|h|\|\mathbf{y}\|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}{h} \\ \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{T}_a(\mathbf{y})\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (4.1)

Proof  $\Rightarrow$  Cont...

To prove (3) we simply note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{k=1}^m f'_k(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{e}_k \quad (\text{From (A)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \nabla f_k(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y} \mathbf{e}_k = (\nabla f_1(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y}, \dots, \nabla f_m(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y}) \\ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{y}) \quad (\text{From (2)}) \\ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \nabla f_k(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y} \mathbf{e}_k = (\nabla f_1(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y}, \dots, \nabla f_m(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{y}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.

## The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}$ at $\mathbf{a}$

Equation (3) can also be written more simply as a matrix product,

$$\mathbf{T}_a(\mathbf{y}) = D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{y},$$

where  $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix whose  $k^{\text{th}}$  row is  $\nabla f_k(\mathbf{a})$ , and where  $\mathbf{y}$  is regarded as an  $n \times 1$  column matrix. The matrix  $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$  is called the **Jacobian matrix** of  $\mathbf{f}$  at  $\mathbf{a}$ . Its  $kj$  entry is the partial derivative  $D_j f_k(\mathbf{a})$ . Thus, we have

$$D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 f_1(\mathbf{a}) & D_2 f_1(\mathbf{a}) & \dots & D_n f_1(\mathbf{a}) \\ D_1 f_2(\mathbf{a}) & D_2 f_2(\mathbf{a}) & \dots & D_n f_2(\mathbf{a}) \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ D_1 f_m(\mathbf{a}) & D_2 f_m(\mathbf{a}) & \dots & D_n f_m(\mathbf{a}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

## The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}$ at $\mathbf{a}$

Cont...

- The Jacobian matrix  $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$  is defined at each point where the  $mn$  partial derivatives  $D_j f_k(\mathbf{a})$  exists.
- The total derivative  $\mathbf{T}_a$  is also written as  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a})$ .
- The derivative  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a})$  is a linear transformation; the Jacobian  $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})$  is a matrix representation for this transformation.

## The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}$ at $\mathbf{a}$

Cont...

The first-order Taylor formula takes the form

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{v}) + \|\mathbf{v}\|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  as  $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ . This resembles the one-dimensional Taylor formula. To compute the components of the vector  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{v})$  we can use the matrix product  $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v}$  or formula (3) of Theorem (4.1).

## Example

Calculate the Jacobian matrix of the following functions:

(a)  $\mathbf{f}(x, y) = (x^2y^3, 5x - 3y^2 - 1)$

(b)  $\mathbf{g}(x, y) = (e^x + e^y, e^{x+y})$

## Example Solution

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathbf{f}(x, y) &= \begin{bmatrix} D_1 f_1(x, y) & D_2 f_1(x, y) \\ D_1 f_2(x, y) & D_2 f_2(x, y) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2xy^3 & 3y^2x^2 \\ 5 & -6y \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathbf{g}(x, y) &= \begin{bmatrix} D_1 g_1(x, y) & D_2 g_1(x, y) \\ D_1 g_2(x, y) & D_2 g_2(x, y) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} e^x & e^y \\ e^{x+y} & e^{x+y} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (4.2)

Differentiability implies continuity

If a vector field  $\mathbf{f}$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{a}$ , then  $\mathbf{f}$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{a}$ .

## Theorem (4.2)

Differentiability implies continuity  $\Rightarrow$  Proof

As in the scalar case, we use the Taylor formula to prove this theorem.

If we let  $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  in the first-order Taylor formula,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}) = \lim_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) + \lim_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{v}) + \lim_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \|\mathbf{v}\| \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}).$$

The error term  $\|\mathbf{v}\| \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ .

The linear part  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{v})$  also trends to  $\mathbf{0}$  because linear transformations are continuous at  $\mathbf{0}$ .

This completes the proof.

# Chain Rule for Derivatives of Vector Fields

## Theorem (4.3)

### Chain rule

Let  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  be vector fields such that the composition  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}$  is defined in a neighborhood of a point  $\mathbf{a}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{g}$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{a}$ , with total derivative  $\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{a})$ . Let  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a})$  and assume that  $\mathbf{f}$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{b}$ , with total derivative  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{b})$ . Then  $\mathbf{h}$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{a}$ , and the total derivative  $\mathbf{h}'(\mathbf{a})$  is given by

$$\mathbf{h}'(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{b}) \circ \mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{a}),$$

the composition of the linear transformations  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{b})$  and  $\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{a})$ .

## Matrix form of the chain rule

Let  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}$ , where  $\mathbf{g}$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a})$ . The chain rule states that

$$\mathbf{h}'(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{b}) \circ \mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{a}).$$

We can express the chain rule in terms of the Jacobian matrices  $D\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{a})$ ,  $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b})$ , and  $D\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a})$  which represent the linear transformations  $\mathbf{h}'(\mathbf{a})$ ,  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{b})$ , and  $\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{a})$ , respectively.

## Matrix form of the chain rule

Cont...

Since composition of linear transformations corresponds to multiplication of their matrices, we obtain

$$D\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{a}) = D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b})D\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}). \quad (5)$$

This is called the **matrix form of the chain rule**.

## Matrix form of the chain rule

Cont...

It can also be written as a set of scalar equations by expressing each matrix in terms of its entries.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Then  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and we can write

$$\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n), \quad \mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m), \quad \mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_m).$$

## Matrix form of the chain rule

Cont...

Then  $D\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{a})$  is an  $m \times p$  matrix,  $D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b})$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, and  $D\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a})$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix, given by

$$D\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{a}) = [D_j h_i(\mathbf{a})]_{i,j=1}^{m,p},$$

$$D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b}) = [D_k f_i(\mathbf{b})]_{i,k=1}^{m,n},$$

$$D\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a}) = [D_j g_k(\mathbf{a})]_{k,j=1}^{n,p}.$$

## Matrix form of the chain rule

Cont...

The matrix equation (5) is equivalent to  $mp$  scalar equations,

$$D_j h_i(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{k=1}^n D_k f_i(\mathbf{b}) D_j g_k(\mathbf{a}), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

These equations express the partial derivatives of the components of  $\mathbf{h}$  in terms of the partial derivatives of the components of  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$ .

## Example 1

### Extended chain rule for scalar fields

Suppose  $f$  is a scalar field ( $m = 1$ ). Then  $h$  is also a scalar field and there are  $p$  equations in the chain rule, one for each of the partial derivatives of  $h$ :

$$D_j h(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{k=1}^n D_k f(\mathbf{b}) D_j g_k(\mathbf{a}), \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

The special case  $p = 1$  was already considered in the previous Chapter. In this case we get only one equation,

$$h'(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{k=1}^n D_k f(\mathbf{b}) g'_k(\mathbf{a}).$$

## Example 1

Extended chain rule for scalar fields  $\Rightarrow$  Cont...

Now take  $p = 2$  and  $n = 2$ . Write  $\mathbf{a} = (s, t)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (x, y)$ . Then the components  $x$  and  $y$  are related to  $s$  and  $t$  by the equations

$$x = g_1(s, t), \quad y = g_2(s, t).$$

The chain rule gives a pair of equations for the partial derivatives of  $h$ :

$$D_1 h(s, t) = D_1 f(x, y) D_1 g_1(s, t) + D_2 f(x, y) D_1 g_2(s, t),$$

$$D_2 h(s, t) = D_1 f(x, y) D_2 g_1(s, t) + D_2 f(x, y) D_2 g_2(s, t).$$

In the  $\partial$ -notation, this pair of equations is usually written as

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}. \tag{7}$$

## Example 2

### Polar coordinates

The temperature of a thin plate is described by a scalar field  $f$ , the temperature at  $(x, y)$  being  $f(x, y)$ . Polar coordinates  $x = r \cos \theta$ ,  $y = r \sin \theta$  are introduced, and the temperature becomes a function of  $r$  and  $\theta$  determined by the equation

$$\varphi(r, \theta) = f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta).$$

Express the partial derivatives  $\partial\varphi/\partial r$  and  $\partial\varphi/\partial\theta$  in terms of the partial derivatives  $\partial f/\partial x$  and  $\partial f/\partial y$ .

## Example 2

Polar coordinates  $\Rightarrow$  Cont...

We use the chain rule as expressed in Equations (6) and (7), writing  $(r, \theta)$  instead of  $(s, t)$ , and  $\varphi$  instead of  $h$ . The equations

$$x = r \cos \theta, \quad y = r \sin \theta$$

gives us

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial r} = \cos \theta, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} = \sin \theta, \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} = -r \sin \theta, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} = r \cos \theta.$$

Substituting these formulas in (6) and (7) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \sin \theta, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} = -r \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \sin \theta + r \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \cos \theta. \quad (9)$$

These are the required formulas for  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}$  and  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}$ .

## Example 3

### Second-order partial derivatives

Refer to Example 2 and express the second-order partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2}$  in terms of partial derivatives of  $f$ .

### Example 3

Second-order partial derivatives  $\Rightarrow$  Cont...

We begin with the formula for  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}$  in (9) and differentiate with respect to  $\theta$ , treating  $r$  as a constant. There are two terms on the right, each of which must be differentiated as a product. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2} &= -r \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial(\sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} - r \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) + r \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial(\cos \theta)}{\partial \theta} \\ &\quad + r \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2} &= -r \cos \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - r \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) - r \sin \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ &\quad + r \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

### Example 3

Second-order partial derivatives  $\Rightarrow$  Cont...

To compute the derivatives of  $\partial f/\partial x$  and  $\partial f/\partial y$  with respect to  $\theta$  we must keep in mind that, as functions of  $r$  and  $\theta$ ,  $\partial f/\partial x$  and  $\partial f/\partial y$  are composite functions given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = D_1 f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \text{ and } \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = D_2 f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta).$$

Therefore, their derivatives with respect to  $\theta$  must be determined by use of the chain rule. We again use (6) and (7) with  $f$  replaced by  $D_1 f$ , to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) &= \frac{\partial(D_1 f)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial(D_1 f)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} (-r \sin \theta) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} (r \cos \theta). \end{aligned}$$

### Example 3

Second-order partial derivatives  $\Rightarrow$  Cont...

Similarly, using (6) and (7) with  $f$  replaced by  $D_2f$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) &= \frac{\partial(D_2f)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial(D_2f)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} (-r \sin \theta) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} (r \cos \theta).\end{aligned}$$

When these formulas are used in (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2} &= -r \cos \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} \\ &\quad - r \sin \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} + r^2 \cos^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}.\end{aligned}$$

This is the required formula for  $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta^2}$ .

Thank you!